

Δ

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO. | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. CONFIRMATION NO. | |
|----------------------------|----------------|----------------------|-------------------------|--------------------------------------|--|
| 09/701,804 | 01/05/2001 | Plinio Meyer | FE-12 PCT 8417 | | |
| 75 | 590 08/29/2002 | | | | |
| Friedrich Kueffner | | | EXAMINER | | |
| 317 Madison A Suite 910 | venue | | HESS, DANIEL A | | |
| New York, NY 10017 | | | ADTIBUT | DARED MINADED | |
| | | | ART UNIT | PAPER NUMBER | |
| | | | 2876 | | |
| | | | DATE MAILED: 08/29/2002 | | |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

| , and the second | | | | il/ | | | |
|--|---|---|---|---------------|--|--|--|
| | Application No. | | Applicant(s) | V | | | |
| | 09/701,804 | | MEYER, PLINIO | | | | |
| Office Action Summary | Examin r | | Art Unit | | | | |
| | Daniel A Hess | | 2876 | | | | |
| Th MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply | ars on th cover | sh et with the c | orrespondence ad | dress | | | |
| A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). Status | 36(a). In no event, howe within the statutory min vill apply and will expire cause the application to | ever, may a reply be tim imum of thirty (30) days SIX (6) MONTHS from b become ABANDONED | ely filed will be considered timel the mailing date of this co (35 U.S.C. § 133). | | | | |
| 1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on | <u> </u> | | | | | | |
| 2a) ☐ This action is FINAL . 2b) ☑ Thi | is action is non-fi | nal. | | | | | |
| 3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. | | | | | | | |
| Disposition of Claims 4)⊠ Claim(s) 1-14 is/are pending in the application | | | | | | | |
| 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw | | ation | | | | | |
| 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. | vii iioiii consider | ation. | | | | | |
| 6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-14</u> is/are rejected. | _ | | | | | | |
| 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. | | | | | | | |
| 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or | r election require | ment. | | | | | |
| Application Papers | | | | | | | |
| 9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner | r. | | | | | | |
| 10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ accepted or b)☐ objected to by the Examiner. | | | | | | | |
| Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). | | | | | | | |
| 11)☐ The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a)☐ approved b)☐ disapproved by the Examiner. | | | | | | | |
| If approved, corrected drawings are required in rep | ly to this Office ac | lion. | | | | | |
| 12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. | | | | | | | |
| Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120 | | | | | | | |
| 13)⊠ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign | priority under 35 | 5 U.S.C. § 119(a) |)-(d) or (f). | | | | |
| a) ☐ All b) ☑ Some * c) ☐ None of: | | | | | | | |
| 1. Certified copies of the priority documents | s have been rece | ived. | | | | | |
| 2. Certified copies of the priority documents | s have been rece | ived in Application | on No | | | | |
| application from the International Bur | 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. | | | | | | |
| 14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic | | | | application). | | | |
| a) ☐ The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received. 15) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121. | | | | | | | |
| Attachment(s) | o priority under 0 | 2 0.0.0. 33 120 | and of the fi | | | | |
| 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s) 5. | 4) 5) 6) | | (PTO-413) Paper No atent Application (PT | | | | |
| S Patent and Tmdomark Office | | | | | | | |

Application/Control Number: 09/701,804

Art Unit: 2876

DETAILED ACTION

Priority

Acknowledgment is made of applicant's claim for foreign priority under 35
 U.S.C. 119(a)-(d). PCT/CH00/00192 dated 4/3/02 is acknowledged. However, receipt of Swiss 0640/99 dated 4/3/1999 is not acknowledged.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

- The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:
 The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.
- A broad range or limitation together with a narrow range or limitation that falls within the broad range or limitation (in the same claim) is considered indefinite, since the resulting claim does not clearly set forth the metes and bounds of the patent protection desired. Note the explanation given by the Board of Patent Appeals and Interferences in *Ex parte Wu*, 10 USPQ2d 2031, 2033 (Bd. Pat. App. & Inter. 1989), as to where broad language is followed by "such as" and then narrow language. The Board stated that this can render a claim indefinite by raising a question or doubt as to whether the feature introduced by such language is (a) merely exemplary of the remainder of the claim, and therefore not required, or (b) a required feature of the claims. Note also, for example, the decisions of *Ex parte Steigewald*, 131 USPQ 74 (Bd. App. 1961); *Ex parte Hall*, 83 USPQ 38 (Bd. App. 1948); and *Ex parte Hasche*, 86 USPQ 481 (Bd. App. 1949).

Art Unit: 2876

In the present instance, claims 2 and 3 recites (i.e. in the context of 'and/or') the broad recitation 'or', and the claim also recites 'and' which is the narrower statement of the range/limitation. In this case, for the sake of examination on the merits, the broader statement is used. Also, claim 13 recites the broad recitation 'franking marking vending machine', and the claim also recites 'postage stamp vending machine' which is the narrower statement of the range/limitation. For the sake of examination, the broader statement is used, although the art covers the narrower limitation as well.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

4. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (e) the invention was described in-
- (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effect under this subsection of a national application published under section 122(b) only if the international application designating the United States was published under Article 21(2)(a) of such treaty in the English language; or
- (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that a patent shall not be deemed filed in the United States for the purposes of this subsection based on the filing of an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a).
- 5. Claims 1-14 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Basington et al. (EP 0 893 787 A2).

Basington teaches all of the elements and means as recited in claims 1-14 drawn to a method of vending customized franking markings such as postage stamps. For example Basington teaches the following:

Application/Control Number: 09/701,804

Art Unit: 2876

Re claims 1 and 13: A vending machine prints personalized stamps (column 3, lines 19-21). Note that postage stamps are a type of franking markings. The vending machine shown in figure 1 is clearly a computer; it has input, output and also processing capability. This processing capability is evidenced by its 'digitally creating' an image (column 3, lines 40). Pictures are taken and displayed (column 4, line 58 to column 5, line 1). Labels are then printed (column 5, lines 5-11); this constitutes 'support material.'

Re claims 2, 3 and 11: The user can select from (column 4, line 58 to column 5, line 5) various pictures on a screen, making a choice on a keyboard.

Re claim 4: There is a 'vending machine' (column 2, lines 10-20); this implies self-service.

Re claim 5: The user determines the value of the postage stamps (column 7, lines 36-42).

Re claim 6: A digital camera (column 3, lines 35-37) is used.

Re claim 7: Printing is triggered by a key selection, namely the selection of a particular image (column 5, lines 1-10).

Re claims 8 and 9: As Basington notes (column 4, lines 40-45), a credit check is performed in order for the transaction to proceed. This is amounts to checking for 'sufficient credit.'

Re claim 10: Note that (see Figure 1) the aperture 9 (column 3, lines 35-37) is built into the computer and must connect to it because the image is digital and is displayed on the screen.

Re claim 12: In addition to credit, there is also capability for 'paper money and coin accounting' (column 3, lines 48-49).

Re claim 14: Basington envisions (column 6, lines 34-36) postage metering.

Application/Control Number: 09/701,804

Art Unit: 2876

Conclusion

6. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. De Passille shows (see summary) customized production of stamps where the customizations may include text. Brewen et al. (US 4,872,706), Kara (US 5,717,597), Kara (US6,208,980), Kaplan (US 5,873,605) and Mikhail (US 4,715,622) each show the creation of customized stamps. Basington et al. (US 5,923,406) is the US patent covering the primary reference in this office action.

- 7. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Daniel A Hess whose telephone number is (703) 305-3841. The examiner can normally be reached on 8:00 AM 5:00 PM M-F.
- 8. If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Michael G Lee can be reached on (703) 305-3503. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are (703) 308-7722 for regular communications and (703) 308-7722 for After Final communications.
- 9. Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-0956.

'nп

August 15, 2002

Daniel A Hess Examiner

Art Unit 2876

THIEN M. LE
PRIMARY EXAMINER

Page 5